

**REMARKS**

The above amendment and these remarks are responsive to the Office action of 17 Jun 2005 by Examiner David Yiuk Jung.

Claims 1-11, 15-26, and 30-40 are in the case, none as yet allowed.

**35 U.S.C. 101**

Claims 1-11, and 15-27 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as directed to non-statutory subject matter.

The Examiner is correct. In removing "computer hacker" from the claims, they were left even more lacking in proper structure.

Applicant has amended the claims to appropriately direct them to statutory subject matter, and added several

END920000185US1

18 of 20

S/N 09/945,172

new claims 31-40 drawn to computer program products generally corresponding to several (that is, claims 2-11) of the computer implemented method claims.

#### SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

Applicants urge that the above amendments be entered and the case passed to issue with claims 1-11, 15-26, and 30-40.

The Application is believed to be in condition for allowance and such action by the Examiner is urged. Should differences remain, however, which do not place one/more of the remaining claims in condition for allowance, the Examiner is requested to phone the undersigned at the number provided below for the purpose of providing constructive assistance and suggestions in accordance with M.P.E.P. Sections 707.02(j) and 707.03 in order that allowable claims can be presented, thereby placing the Application in condition for allowance without further proceedings being

END920000185US1

19 OF 20

S/N 09/945,172

necessary.

Sincerely,

Robert M. Silverman

By

  
Shelley M Beckstrand  
Reg. No. 24,886

Date: 16 Sep 2005

Shelley M Beckstrand  
Patent Attorney  
61 Glenmont Road  
Woodlawn, VA 24381-1341

Phone: (276) 238-1972  
Fax: (276) 238-1545

END920000185US1

20 of 20

S/N 09/945,172